



x

CAnD3-FSU PopAging DataViz Shark Tank Award

This DataViz award is designed to recognize and celebrate our the PopAging Dataviz workshop participants' work in producing compelling and effective data visualizations in support of CAnD3's mandate of supporting data-driven decision making.

Awards

One overall winner. **In the case of ties, co-winners may be declared.**

Consent to Share

The winner(s) of the award must agree to share their visualization through various CAnD3 promotion mechanisms under a Creative Commons license.

CAnD3-FSU PopAging DataViz Shark Tank Award Judging Rubric

Category	Criteria	Scoring
Effective communication: Clear presentation of results through visualization	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Results: Message is communicated clearly to its intended audience Pace: Presenter spent adequate time on each element of their presentation (did they elaborate for too long on one aspect or was the presentation rushed?) Fair representation: Data are accurately represented without distortion and message is consistent with the other poster content Time consideration: Presentation was completed within 3:00 minutes. 	Excellent (9-10 pts) Very good (7-8 pts) Good (5-6 pts) Limited (3-4 pts) Poor (1-2 pts)
Creativity and innovation: New direction in field to approach or in visualizing the data	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Significance of graphic to research: Clearly conveyed by the visualization Innovation: Visualization itself is innovative and creative Inspiration: Provocative, compelling, and memorable content, message, or design Unique approach: Representation of data is bold and original 	Excellent (9-10 pts) Very good (7-8 pts) Good (5-6 pts) Limited (3-4 pts) Poor (1-2 pts)
Design and aesthetics: Appropriate use of color and design	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Title, headings, labels: Appropriate size, location, spelling, and content Choice of visual: Appropriate for the audience and the message being conveyed Design: Aesthetically pleasing, limited clutter, good use of color contrast Clarity: Appropriate balance of function and design 	Excellent (9-10 pts) Very good (7-8 pts) Good (5-6 pts) Limited (3-4 pts) Poor (1-2 pts)
Communication: Engagement of the audience with the presentation	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Engagement: Oration made the audience want to know more Presenter conveyed enthusiasm for their research Presenter captured and maintained their audience's attention 	Excellent (9-10 pts) Very good (7-8 pts) Good (5-6 pts) Limited (3-4 pts) Poor (1-2 pts)